From: Sent: To: Subject: Micki Larimer [mickilarimer@home.com] Wednesday, October 03, 2001 5:39 PM comment@bpa.gov

Kangley-Echo Lake Transmission Line

RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC INVOLVEMEN LOG#: KELT-0 4 2001 RECEIPT

Dear BPA officials,

In the wake of the September 11th tragedies, Americans are more aware than ever of the potential for contamination of our air and water supplies. While the threat of extreme contamination from radicals outside our country looms large in the national psyche, the likelihood of our slowly poisoning ourselves must still be protected against. I urge you as a fellow Americans and representatives of our great country to protect the water supply of the Northwest's economic and and cultural center. Seek out and implement alternative routes for the Kangley- Echo Lake Transmission line that do not pose a threat to the Cedar River Watershed, or other vital water sources.

Sincerely,

Lari M. Larimer Bellevue, WA

> RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

LOG#: RECEIPT

OCT 1 6 2001

Kangley-Echo Transmission Project

Telephone comment by Ginny Kuehn 1/16/01

Eldon Ball Phone # 206-366-8405

I am calling in regard to the proposed transmission line through the Cedar River watershed. The transmission lines that were built across the Cascades from the Columbia River dams to western Washington were probably built in the 40's, 50's, 60's or 70's. I don't think there is anything much newer than that. It seems to me that with four or five transmission lines across Stampede Pass, four across Stevens Pass, one across Snoqualmie Pass that perhaps you could update some of the old lines that were 110 or 230 kilovolt and make them 500 kilovolt lines and don't track through additional watershed areas that are old growth forest that is pristine and shouldn't be damaged, maybe you could use some of your existing rights-of-way and just use them more efficiently.

I would like a reply.

Thank you.

Driessen, Laurens C - TNP-3 From: Sent:

Driessen, Laurens C - 1NP-3
Thursday, October 18, 2001 12:16 PM
Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7
Lynard, Gene P - KEC-4
FW: Kangley comment To: Cc: Subject:

RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT KELT- 415 LOG#: RECEIPT DATE: OCT 1 9 2001

Another comment

----Original Message----

From: Hilary B. Bramwell [mailto:hilarybb@u.washington.edu]

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 9:31 AM

To: florrainebodi@bpa.gov; comment@bpa.gov

Subject: Cedar river watershed

Hi. My name is Hilary Bramwell, and I am a resident of Seattle. I'm very concerned with the future health

of MY DRINKING WATER. I am writing to say that I absolutely am against the BPA's plan to build through the watershed area. Please realize that INDIVIDUALS (1.3 million of them) will be deeply affected. I'm sorry, but the purity of the water we have available to put in our bodies is more important than selling power to Canada. If you DON'T think it is, then you have some whacked-out priorities in my opinion. If you go through with the plan, I'm going to have to send the federal government a bill for my bottled water costs. know they won't pay it, but hey, I'm really pissed off, and want to make people realize the implications of building transmission lines through the watershed area. Please consider the human element here, as well as the environmental one. What BPA is planning just isn't right or fair. Thanks for listening. sincerely, Hilary Bramwell

From: Sent: To: Subject: Lynard, Gene P - KEC-4 Wednesday, October 17, 2001 11:12 AM Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7; Taves, John - KR-7C FW: Regarding the Cedar River Watershed PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

RECEIPT DATE:

OCT 1 9 2001

-----Original Message----From: Michael Shank [mailto:michaels@pcbp.org] Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2001 3:09 PM To: 'gplynard@bpa.gov' Subject: Regarding the Cedar River Watershed

Greetings, Gene!

My name is Michael Shank and I'm serving as the Membership Coordinator for Pacific Crest Biodiversity Project. The Biodiversity Project spearheaded Protect Our Watershed Alliance, an environmental coalition that protected the Cedar River Watershed from commercial logging three years ago.

I have a few questions that have gone unanswered by Lou Driessen and I thought you might be able to answer them.

We (along with SPU and the Seattle City Council) have asked that BPA pursue other viable options outside the Cedar River Watershed and your reasons are short and lack full articulation. Your first reason/excuse given in why you cannot enter Maple Valley is that you cannot take turn the power off long enough to replace the lines. Is it not true that you could replace half of the line one year and the rest of the line the following year? The second reason/excuse you give for not using Maply Valley is that two vacant lines are needed for other purposes. Could you explain those other needs? BPA is supposed to do such things in the DEIS and you haven't. I'd

appreciate it if you would. Thank you for your time.

warm regards,

Michael

Michael Shank Membership Coordinator

~Protecting and restoring forest ecosystems in the Pacific Northwest~

Pacific Crest Biodiversity Project 4649 Sunnyside Avenue North #321 Seattle, WA 98103

Phone: 206.545.3734 ext. 11 Fax: 206.545.4498 Email: michaels@pcbp.org

Web: http://www.protectandrestore.org

From: Sent: To: Cc:

Driessen, Laurens C - TNP-3 Thursday, October 18, 2001 5:15 PM Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7

Lynard, Gene P - KEC-4 FW: clear cut

Subject:

DECEMED BY DOA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT LOG#: KELT-417

RECEIPT DATE:

OCT 1 9 2001

----Original Message----

From: Marc Smason [mailto:musicetc@earthlink.net]

Sent: Monday, October 08, 2001 1:59 PM

To: lcdriessen@bpa.gov

Subject: clear cut

As a seattlite, i strongly oppose bonneville power's plan to clear cut through ceadar river water shed!

Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7

From: Sent: To:

Driessen, Laurens C - TNP-3 Thursday, October 18, 2001 5:16 PM Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7 Lynard, Gene P - KEC-4

Cc: Subject: FW: Kangley - Echo Lake

RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

LOG#: KELT-

RECEIPT DATE:

OCT 1 9 2001

----Original Message----

From: Erwin Galan [mailto:galanerwin@hotmail.com]

Sent: Monday, October 08, 2001 1:06 AM

To: lcdriessen@bpa.gov

Cc: galanerwin@hotmail.com

Subject:

It is of the utmost importance that the Cedar River Watershed Be completey

protected against any intrusion whatsoever; educate the public regarding

how we can cut our consumption. This would eliminate the need of

buiding

this transmission line. This IS realistic - think of how many business leave their lights and computers on AFTER hours. Look around.

From:

Sent:

RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT KELT-419

Driessen, Laurens C - TNP-3

To: Cc:

Thursday, October 18, 2001 6:05 PM
Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7
Lynard, Gene P - KEC-4
FW: NO to BPA's plan to log protected watershed, Kangley - Echo Lake Subject:

RECEIPT DATE: OCT 1 9 2001

----Original Message----

From: Judy Lightfoot [mailto:jhlightfoot@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2001 4:26 PM

To: jim.compton@ci.seattle.wa.us; jan.drago@ci.seattle.wa.us;

margaret.pageler@ci.seattle.wa.us; diana.gale@ci.seattle.wa.us; richard.conlineci.seattle.wa.us; peter.steinbrueck@ci.seattle.wa.us;

heidi.wills@ci.seattle.wa.us

Cc: clayton.antieau@ci.seattle.wa.us; mayors.office@ci.seattle.wa.us;

lcdriessen@bpa.gov

Subject: NO to BPA's plan to log protected watershed

Dear City Council members: Don't let BPA log the Cedar River watershed. The source of Seattle's drinking water should continue to be carefully protected from any

logging at all, but BPA hasn't even had the foresight to develop a complete

proposal

that fulfills official guidelines - it hasn't prepared EIS for other

options

than the one it happens to prefer, and there are other problems with its

proposal that SPU has carefully specified.

Please make sure this project does NOT go forward. Thank you,

Judy Lightfoot

Judy Lightfoot, PhD 1326 NE 62nd St Seattle, WA 98115 206/522-2269

http://www.homestead.com/judy_lightfoot

Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7

RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT KELT-42

OCT 1 9 2001

RECEIPT DATE

From: Sent: To:

Driessen, Laurens C - TNP-3 Thursday, October 18, 2001 6:37 PM Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7 Lynard, Gene P - KEC-4

Subject: FW: proposed powerline in 2 watersheds. Kangley - Echo Lake

----Original Message----

From: virgileh [mailto:virgileh1@home.com] Sent: Monday, September 17, 2001 6:54 PM To: lcdriessen@bpa.gov; coment@bpa.gov Subject: proposed powerline in 2 watersheds

I understand that Bonneville Power Administration proposes new transmission

lines across the Cedar and Raging River watersheds. Via this e mail I am requesting that BPA

1 - place any new lines on existing towers (NO new roads!)

2 - replace any forest or wetlands that are damaged

3 - prepare a new EIS that contains a substantive cumulative effects analysis, and additional alternatives.

Please acknowledge receipt of my request.

Virgil E. Harder 8005 Sandpoint Way N.E. Seattle, WA 98115

From: Sent: To: Cc:

Driessen, Laurens C - TNP-TPP-3 Tuesday, October 30, 2001 11:58 AM Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7

Lynard, Gene P - KEC-4

Subject: FW: Cedar River Watershed, Kangley - Echo Lake

RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC HWOLVENE AT LOG#: KELT- 421 RECEIPT DATE:

OCT 3 1 2001

----Original Message----

From: Steve Burke [mailto:nomadsteve@hotmail.com]

Sent: Friday, October 26, 2001 2:58 PM

To: opinion@seattletimes.com

Cc: lcdriessen@bpa.gov; margaret.pageler@ci.seattle.wa.us;

richard.conlin@ci.seattle.wa.us; peter.steinbrueck@ci.seattle.wa.us

Subject: Cedar River Watershed

In 1999 I was overjoyed with the decision of Mayor Schell and the City Council to protect the Cedar River Watershed. The habitat conservation

that was a result of that decision was implemented in 2000, protecting our

precious drinking water. Now, barely a year later the Bonneville Power Administration is attempting to undermine that very conservation plan. Bonneville Power, as was detailed in your October 2nd article by Lynda Mapes, plans to create a nine-mile power-line through the protected area.

This ambitious plan includes the logging of 150 acres in the watershed, activity strictly prohibited by the habitat conservation plan. The City

Seattle needs to demand that the government seek alternative routes for

the

power-line outside of the watershed. We cannot let our drinking water possibly contaminated by this project and we cannot let Bonneville Power

undermine our habitat conservation plan. If those arguements are not persuasive enough, the \$150 million price tag on the water treatement plant

that would become neccessary as a result of the logging might speak more

clearly.

Steve Burke 1402 N 145th Shoreline, WA 98133 206.417.6500

Driessen, Laurens C - TNP-TPP-3 From: Tuesday, October 30, 2001 11:58 AM Kuehn, Ginny -KC-7 Lynard, Gene P - KEC-4 Sent: To:

Cc:

FW: Columbia River Treaty, Kanley - Echo Lake Subject:

RECEIVED BY BPA PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT LOG#: KELT-423

RECEIPT DATE:

OCT 3 1 2001

----Original Message----

From: Steve Burke [mailto:nomadsteve@hotmail.com]

Sent: Friday, October 26, 2001 3:35 PM

To: lcdriessen@bpa.gov

Subject: Columbia River Treaty

I am concerned citizen of the Pacific Northwest and have just a few questions that you might be able to help me with. I have been following the

recent developments regarding the Cedar River Watershed, the primary

of Seattle's drinking water and wonder if alternative routes for the proposed powerline have been properly researched. For instance, have environmental impact statements for other routes been proposed or completed;

has the city brought to your attention the need for a water treatment plant

that would be created by current route? Additionally, I would be gratefull if you could pass contact information for the BC Hydro official with

whom BPA is working on the Kangley-Echo Lake Transmissio Project. Thank you for

your time and help.

Steve Burke Political Science Student from the University of Washington 1402 N 145th Shoreline, WA 98133

206.417.6500

RECEIVED BY BPA **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT** LOG#: KELT-423

RECEIPT DATE:

OCT 3 1 2001

Kangley-Echo Lake Transmission Line Project

Telephone comment by Ginny Kuehn 10/31/01

Margo T. Fetz 1901 7th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119 206-284-5870